

1 Thomas R. Kayes*
Rosalind Dillon*
2 THE CIVIL RIGHTS GROUP, LLC
2045 W Grand Ave, Ste B, PMB 62448
Chicago, IL 60612
3 tel: 708.722.2241
tom@civilrightsgroup.com
roz@civilrightsgroup.com

4 *Attorneys for Plaintiff*

5 *PHV Applications Forthcoming

8 NICOLE FURNAS,

9 Plaintiff,

10 vs.

11 TIERRA LUNA AND SOL
12 ENTERPRISES, LLC; TRANSPACIFIC
ASSET MANAGEMENT, LLC; and
13 SANTOS,

14 Defendants.

Case No.

**COMPLAINT AND JURY
DEMAND**

Introduction

16 1. This is a housing harassment case. Tierra Luna and Sol, LLC owns the
17 Tierra Sol Apartments in Tucson. Transpacific Asset Management, LLC manages
18 the property. Tierra and Transpacific rented an apartment there to Nicole Furnas.
19 As the lease term neared its end without a renewal offer, Furnas became afraid that
20

1 Tierra and Transpacific would not renew her lease and that she would be unable to
2 find another affordable home. She worried she could become homeless.

3 2. That was when Furnas met Santos, a maintenance worker employed
4 by Tierra and Transpacific that the companies sent to Furnas's home to fix the air
5 conditioning. After learning about Furnas's fear of homelessness, Santos said he
6 would have her lease renewed if she performed oral sex on him. Feeling she had no
7 other way to avoid losing her home, Furnas did so. But Santos did not make good
8 his promise and Furnas was forced to leave her home. She now sues to recover for
9 her injuries.

10 **Jurisdiction & Venue**

11 3. This court has subject-matter jurisdiction over this case under 28
12 U.S.C. § 1331 because of Furnas's federal Fair Housing Act claim.

13 4. This court has subject-matter jurisdiction over Furnas's remaining
14 claims under 28 U.S.C. § 1367 because they arise from the same facts as her
15 federal claim.

16 5. Venue is proper in this judicial district because the relevant events
17 occurred in Pima County.

18 **Parties**

19 6. Plaintiff Nicole Furnas is a person living in Tucson, Arizona.

1 25. Santos told Furnas, “If you scratch my back, I’ll scratch yours,” and
2 offered a quid pro quo: He would have Furnas’s lease renewed if Furnas performed
3 oral sex on him.

4 26. Furnas did not want to perform oral sex on Santos.

5 27. Furnas did not know Santos and was not attracted to him.

6 28. It was also 107 degrees in Tucson that day, and Santos had been
7 working hard in Furnas’s unairconditioned apartment.

8 29. But Furnas knew that Santos worked for the property because she had
9 seen him around, and so she trusted that he had the power to get her lease renewed.

10 30. Desperate to avoid homelessness, Furnas agreed to Santos’s quid pro
11 quo.

12 31. Santos entered Furnas’s bedroom, pulled up his shirt, pulled down his
13 pants, and received oral sex from Furnas.

14 32. Santos then left, telling Furnas that he would get her a new lease.

15 33. Santos did not get Furnas a lease renewal for her Tierra Sol unit.

16 34. Furnas soon realized that Santos had taken advantage of her, and
17 feelings of humiliation and shame overcame her.

18 35. Furnas left the property in the beginning of September 2023.

19 36. Santos’s harassment caused Furnas to suffer mental and emotional
20 pain and suffering giving rise to bodily injury that continues to this day.

Claims for Relief

Count 1 – Federal Fair Housing Act

Furnas against Santos, Tierra Sol, and Transpacific

37. Furnas incorporates all other allegations here.

38. Defendants injured Furnas by committing discriminatory housing practices in violation of the federal Fair Housing Act, including:

a. Quid pro sexual harassment, 24 C.F.R. § 100.600(a)(1);

b. Hostile environment sexual harassment, 24 C.F.R. § 100.600(a)(2).

39. Furnas is therefore entitled to compensatory damages, punitive damages, declaratory relief, attorneys' fees and costs.

40. Each Defendant is directly liable or vicariously liable for all the discriminatory housing practices under 24 C.F.R. § 100.7

Count 2 – Arizona Fair Housing Act

Furnas against Santos, Tierra Sol, and Transpacific

41. Furnas incorporates all other allegations here.

42. Defendants injured Furnas by committing discriminatory housing practices in violation of the Arizona Fair Housing Act, Ariz. Rev. Stat. § 41-1491.1; Ariz. Rev. Stat. § 41-1491.15.

43. Furnas is therefore entitled to compensatory damages, punitive damages, declaratory relief, attorneys' fees and costs.

Count 5 – Battery

Furnas against Santos, Tierra Sol, and Transpacific

55. Furnas incorporates all other allegations here.

56. Santos battered Furnas by making offensive and harmful contact with her, he intended to do so, and she did not consent nor was the contact permitted.

57. Each Defendant is directly liable or vicariously liable for that conduct.

58. That conduct injured Furnas.

59. Furnas is therefore entitled to compensatory and punitive damages.

//

//

Prayer for Relief

60. Furnas prays for a judgment with the following relief:

- a. Compensatory damages,
- b. Punitive damages,
- c. Attorneys' fees and costs,
- d. Pre- and post- judgment interest,
- e. Declaratory relief, and
- f. All other relief that the court finds just.

Dated: November 20, 2023

Respectfully submitted,

/s/ Thomas R. Kayes

Thomas R. Kayes
THE CIVIL RIGHTS GROUP, LLC
2045 W Grand Ave, Ste B, PMB 62448
Chicago, IL 60612
t. 708.722.2241
tom@civilrightsgroup.com
www.civilrightsgroup.com

Attorneys for Plaintiff

Demand for Jury Trial

Under Rule 38(b) of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure, Plaintiff demands a jury trial on all issues.

Dated: November 20, 2023

Respectfully submitted,

/s/ Thomas R. Kayes

Thomas R. Kayes
THE CIVIL RIGHTS GROUP, LLC
2045 W Grand Ave, Ste B, PMB 62448
Chicago, IL 60612
t. 708.722.2241
tom@civilrightsgroup.com
www.civilrightsgroup.com

Attorneys for Plaintiff